



1 23 June 2016
2 EMA/CHMP/BWP/534898/2008 rev. 1
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Guideline on the requirements for quality documentation**
5 **concerning biological investigational medicinal products in**
6 **clinical trials**
7 **Draft**

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Draft Agreed by Biologic Working Party | May 2016 |
| Adoption by Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use for release for consultation | 23 June 2016 |
| Start of public consultation | 1 July 2016 |
| End of consultation (deadline for comments) | 31 December 2016 |

8 Note: The revision of this Guideline was prepared by the CHMP Biologics Working Party with a mandate
9 from the European Commission, to facilitate the implementation of Regulation (EU) No. 536/2014

10 Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to BWPsecretariat@ema.europa.eu

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Keywords | <i>Biological product, investigational medicinal product (IMP), clinical trial, quality</i> |
|-----------------|--|

11



12 **Guideline on the requirements for quality documentation**
13 **concerning biological investigational medicinal products in**
14 **clinical trials**

15 **Table of contents**

| | | |
|----|--|----------|
| 16 | 1. Introduction (background) | 4 |
| 17 | 1.1. Objectives of the guideline | 4 |
| 18 | 1.2. Scope | 4 |
| 19 | 1.3. General points concerning all IMPs | 5 |
| 20 | 1.4. Submission of data | 5 |
| 21 | 2. Information on the biological, chemical and pharmaceutical quality | |
| 22 | concerning biological investigational medicinal products in clinical trials ... | 5 |
| 23 | S.1. General information..... | 6 |
| 24 | S.2. Manufacture | 6 |
| 25 | S.3. Characterisation..... | 9 |
| 26 | S.4. Control of the active substance | 9 |
| 27 | S.5. Reference standards or materials..... | 11 |
| 28 | S.6. Container closure system | 12 |
| 29 | S.7. Stability | 12 |
| 30 | P.1. Description and composition of the investigational medicinal product | 13 |
| 31 | P.2. Pharmaceutical development | 13 |
| 32 | P.3. Manufacture | 14 |
| 33 | P.4. Control of excipients | 15 |
| 34 | P.5. Control of the investigational medicinal product | 16 |
| 35 | P.6. Reference standards or materials | 18 |
| 36 | P.7. Container closure system | 18 |
| 37 | P.8. Stability | 18 |
| 38 | A.1. Facilities and equipment..... | 18 |
| 39 | A.2. Adventitious agents safety evaluation | 19 |
| 40 | A.3. Excipients | 19 |
| 41 | A.4. Solvents for reconstitution and diluents | 19 |

| | | |
|----|---|-----------|
| 42 | 3. Information on the quality of authorised, non-modified biological test | |
| 43 | and comparator products in clinical trials..... | 19 |
| 44 | 4. Information on the quality of modified authorised biological comparator | |
| 45 | products in clinical trials | 20 |
| 46 | 5. Information on the chemical and pharmaceutical quality concerning | |
| 47 | placebo products in clinical trials | 20 |
| 48 | 6. Changes to the investigational medicinal product with a need to request | |
| 49 | a substantial modification to the IMPD..... | 20 |
| 50 | | |

51

52 **1. Introduction (background)**

53 ***1.1. Objectives of the guideline***

54 The following guideline is to be seen in connection with Regulation (EU) No. 536/2014 on clinical trials
55 on medicinal products for human use, and repealing Directive 2001/20/EC, which came into force on
56 June 20, 2014

57 Since clinical trials can be designed as multi-centre studies potentially involving different Member
58 States, it is the aim of this guideline to define harmonised requirements for the documentation to be
59 submitted throughout the European Union.

60 Most available guidelines on the quality of biological / biotechnological medicinal products address
61 quality requirements for marketing authorisation applications. Whilst these guidelines may not be fully
62 applicable in the context of a clinical trial application, the principles outlined are applicable and should
63 be taken into consideration during product development. The guidelines on Virus safety evaluation of
64 biotechnological investigational medicinal products (EMA/CHMP/BWP/398498/05) and Strategies to
65 identify and mitigate risks for first-in-human clinical trials with investigational medicinal products
66 (EMA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07) should also be consulted.

67 Assuring the quality of biological medicinal products is challenging, as often they consist of a number
68 of product variants and process related impurities whose safety and efficacy profiles are difficult to
69 predict. However, unlike chemical entities, toxic impurities are generally not an issue, and the safety
70 issues of biological / biotechnological products are more often related to the mechanism of action of
71 the biological product or to immunogenicity.

72 In the context of an overall development strategy, several clinical trials, using products from different
73 versions of the manufacturing process, may be initiated to generate data to support a Marketing
74 Authorisation Application. The objective of this document is to address the quality requirements of an
75 investigational medicinal product for a given clinical trial and not to provide guidance on a Company's
76 overall development strategy for a medicinal product.

77 Nevertheless, for all clinical development phases, it is the responsibility of the applicant (sponsor) to
78 ensure protection of the clinical trial subjects using a high quality Investigational Medicinal Products
79 (IMP) that is suitable for its intended purpose, and to appropriately address those quality attributes
80 that may impair patients' safety (e.g. microbiological aspects, viral contamination, dose).

81 Due to the diversity of products to be used in the different phases of clinical trials, the requirements
82 defined in this guideline can only be taken as illustrative and are not presented as an exhaustive list.
83 IMPs based on innovative and/or complex technologies may require a more detailed data package for
84 assessment.

85 ***1.2. Scope***

86 This guideline addresses the specific documentation requirements on the biological, chemical and
87 pharmaceutical quality of IMPs containing biological / biotechnology derived substances.

88 Moreover, this guideline lists, as regards documentation on the biological, chemical and pharmaceutical
89 quality of the IMP, examples of modifications which are typically considered as 'substantial'.

90 The guidance outlined in this document applies to proteins and polypeptides, their derivatives, and
91 products of which they are components (e.g. conjugates). These proteins and polypeptides are
92 produced from recombinant or non-recombinant cell-culture expression systems and can be highly
93 purified and characterised using an appropriate set of analytical procedures. The guideline also applies
94 to Auxiliary Medicinal Products containing these proteins and polypeptides as active substances.

95 The principles may also apply to other product types such as proteins and polypeptides isolated from
96 tissues and body fluids.

97 Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products are excluded from this guideline.

98 **1.3. General points concerning all IMPs**

99 IMPs should be produced in accordance with the principles and the detailed guidelines of good
100 manufacturing practices for medicinal products (The rules governing medicinal products in the
101 European Community, Volume IV).

102 **1.4. Submission of data**

103 The IMPD should be provided in a clearly structured format following the CTD format of Module 3 and
104 include the most up-to-date available information relevant to the clinical trial at time of submission of
105 the clinical trial application.

106 If the active substance used is already authorised in a finished product within the EU/EEA, in one of the
107 ICH regions or one of the Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) partner countries, reference can be
108 made to the valid marketing authorisation. A statement should be provided that the active substance
109 has the same quality as in the approved product.

110 The name of the finished product, the marketing authorisation number or its equivalent, the marketing
111 authorisation holder and the country that granted the marketing authorisation should be given.
112 (Reference is made to Table 1 of Regulation 536/2014)

113 **2. Information on the biological, chemical and** 114 **pharmaceutical quality concerning biological investigational** 115 **medicinal products in clinical trials**

116 **S Active substance**

117 Reference to an Active Substance Master File or a Certificate of Suitability (CEP) of the European
118 Directorate for the Quality of Medicines is neither acceptable nor applicable for biological /
119 biotechnological active substances.

120 **S.1. General information**

121 **S.1.1. Nomenclature**

122 Information concerning the nomenclature of the active substance (e.g. proposed International Non-
123 Proprietary Name (INN), pharmacopoeial name, proprietary name, company code, other names or
124 codes, if any) should be given.

125 **S.1.2. Structure**

126 A brief description of the predicted structure should be provided. Higher order structure, schematic
127 amino acid sequence indicating glycosylation sites or other post-translational modifications and relative
128 molecular mass should be included, as appropriate.

129 **S.1.3. General properties**

130 A list of physico-chemical and other relevant properties of the active substance should be provided
131 including biological activity (i.e. the specific ability or capacity of a product to achieve a defined
132 biological effect). The proposed mechanism of action should be discussed.

133 **S.2. Manufacture**

134 **S.2.1. Manufacturer(s)**

135 The name(s) and address(es) and responsibilities of each manufacturer, including contractors, and
136 each proposed production site or facility involved in manufacture, testing and batch release should be
137 provided.

138 **S.2.2. Description of manufacturing process and process controls**

139 The manufacturing process and process controls should be adequately described. The manufacturing
140 process typically starts with one or more vials of the cell bank and includes cell culture, harvest(s),
141 purification, modification reactions and filling. Storage and shipping conditions should be outlined.

142 A flow chart of all successive steps including relevant process parameters and in-process-testing
143 should be given. The results of in-process controls (IPCs) may be recorded as action limits or reported
144 as preliminary acceptance criteria. Testing should focus on safety relevant IPC. Acceptance criteria for
145 critical steps (e.g. ranges for process parameters of those steps involved in virus removal) should be
146 available for manufacture of Ph I/II material. For other IPCs, monitoring might be appropriate. During
147 development, as additional process knowledge is gained, further details of IPCs should be provided and
148 acceptance criteria reviewed.

149 Batch(es) and scale should be defined, including information on any pooling of harvests or
150 intermediates.

151 Any reprocessing during manufacture of the active substance (e.g. filter integrity test failure) should
152 be described and justified. Reprocessing could be considered in exceptional circumstances. For

153 biological products, these situations are usually restricted to certain re-filtration and re-concentration
154 steps upon technical failure of equipment or mechanical breakdown of a chromatography column.

155 **S.2.3. Controls of materials**

156 **Raw and starting materials**

157 Materials used in the manufacture of the active substance (e.g. raw materials, starting materials, cell
158 culture media, growth factors, column resins, solvents, reagents) should be listed identifying where
159 each material is used in the process. Reference to quality standards (e.g. compendial monographs or
160 manufacturers' in-house specifications) should be made. Information on the quality and control of non-
161 compendial materials should be provided. Information demonstrating that materials (including
162 biologically-sourced materials, e.g. media components, monoclonal antibodies, enzymes) meet
163 standards applicable for their intended use should be provided, as appropriate.

164 For all raw materials of biological origin (including those used in the cell bank generation), the source
165 and the respective stage of the manufacturing process where the material is used should be indicated.
166 Summaries of safety information on adventitious agents for biologically-sourced materials should be
167 provided in Appendix A.2.

168 **Source, history and generation of the cell substrate**

169 A brief description of the source and generation (flow chart of the successive steps) of the cell
170 substrate, analysis of the expression vector used to genetically modify the cells and incorporated in the
171 parental / host cell used to develop the Master Cell Bank (MCB), and the strategy by which the
172 expression of the relevant gene is promoted and controlled in production should be provided, following
173 the principles of ICH Q5D.

174 **Cell bank system, characterisation and testing**

175 A MCB should be established prior to the initiation of phase I trials. It is acknowledged that a Working
176 Cell Bank (WCB) may not always be established.

177 Information on the generation, qualification and storage of the cell banks is required. The MCB and/or
178 WCB if used should be characterised and results of tests performed should be provided. Clonality of the
179 cell banks should be addressed for mammalian cell lines. The generation and characterisation of the
180 cell banks should be performed in accordance with principles of ICH Q5D.

181 Cell banks should be characterised for relevant phenotypic and genotypic markers so that the identity,
182 viability, and purity of cells used for the production are ensured.

183 The nucleic acid sequence of the expression cassette including sequence of the coding region should be
184 confirmed prior to the initiation of clinical trials.

185 As for any process change, the introduction of a (new) WCB may potentially impact on the quality
186 profile of the active substance and comparability should be considered (see section S.2.6.
187 Manufacturing process development).

188 The safety assessment for adventitious agents and qualification of the cell banks used for the
189 production of the active substance should be provided in A.2, if appropriate.

190 **Cell substrate stability**

191 Any available data on cell substrate stability should be provided.

192 **S.2.4. Control of critical steps and intermediates**

193 Tests and acceptance criteria for the control of critical steps in the manufacturing process should be
194 provided. It is acknowledged that due to limited data at an early stage of development (phase I/II)
195 complete information may not be available. Hold times and storage conditions for process
196 intermediates should be justified and supported by data, if relevant.

197 **S.2.5. Process validation**

198 Process validation data should be collected throughout development, although they are not required to
199 be submitted in the IMPD.

200 For manufacturing steps intended to remove or inactivate viral contaminants, the relevant information
201 should be provided in the section A2, Adventitious agents safety evaluation.

202 **S.2.6. Manufacturing process development**

203 **Process improvement**

204 Manufacturing processes and their control strategies are continuously being improved and optimised,
205 especially during the development phase and early phases of clinical trials. Changes to the
206 manufacturing process and controls should be summarized. This description should allow a clear
207 identification of the process versions used to produce each batch used in non-clinical and clinical
208 studies, in order to establish an appropriate link between pre-change and post-change batches.
209 Comparative flow charts and/or list of process changes may be used to present the process evolution.
210 If process changes are made to steps involved in viral clearance, justification should be provided as to
211 whether a new viral clearance study is required, or whether the previous study is still applicable.

212 **Comparability exercise**

213 Depending on the consequences of the change introduced and the stage of development, a
214 comparability exercise may be necessary to demonstrate that the change would not adversely impact
215 the quality of the active substance. The main purpose of this exercise is to provide assurance that the
216 post-change product is suitable for the forthcoming clinical trials and that it will not impact on the
217 efficacy of the IMP or raise any concern regarding safety of the patients included in the clinical trial.

218 This comparability exercise should normally follow a stepwise approach, including comparison of
219 quality attributes of the active substance and relevant intermediates, using suitable analytical
220 methods. Analytical methods usually include routine tests, and may be supplemented by additional
221 characterisation tests (including orthogonal methods), as appropriate. Where the manufacturers'
222 accumulated experience and other relevant information are not sufficient to assess the risk introduced
223 by the change, or if a potential risk to the patients is anticipated, a comparability exercise based only
224 on quality considerations may not be sufficient. During early phases of non-clinical and clinical studies,
225 comparability testing is generally not as extensive as for an approved product. In the case of first in
226 human clinical trials, an IMP representative of the material used in non-clinical studies should be used
227 (see Guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for first-in-human clinical trials with
228 investigational medicinal products (EMA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07)).

229 **S.3. Characterisation**

230 **S.3.1. Elucidation of structure and other characteristics**

231 Characterisation of a biotechnological or biological substance (which includes the determination of
232 physico-chemical properties, biological activity, immuno-chemical properties, purity and impurities) by
233 appropriate techniques is necessary to allow a suitable specification to be established. Reference to the
234 literature data only is not acceptable. Adequate characterisation should be performed in the
235 development phase prior to phase I and, where necessary, following significant process changes.

236 All relevant information available on the primary, secondary and higher-order structure including post-
237 translational (e.g. glycoforms) and other modifications of the active substance should be provided.

238 Details should be provided on the biological activity (i.e. the specific ability or capacity of a product to
239 achieve a defined biological effect). Usually, prior to initiation of phase I studies, the biological activity
240 should be determined using an appropriate, reliable and qualified method. Lack of such an assay
241 should be justified. It is recognised that the extent of characterisation data will increase during
242 development.

243 The rationale for selection of the methods used for characterisation should be provided and their
244 suitability should be justified.

245 **S.3.2. Impurities**

246 Process related impurities (e.g. host cell proteins, host cell DNA, media residues, column leachables)
247 and product related impurities (e.g. precursors, cleaved forms, degradation products, aggregates)
248 should be addressed. Quantitative information on impurities should be provided including maximum
249 amount for the highest clinical dose. For certain process-related impurities (e.g. antifoam agents), an
250 estimation of clearance may be justified.

251 In case only qualitative data are provided for certain impurities, this should be justified.

252 **S.4. Control of the active substance**

253 When process validation data are incomplete, the quality attributes used to control the active
254 substance are important to demonstrate pharmaceutical quality, product consistency and comparability
255 after process changes. Therefore the quality attributes controlled throughout the development process
256 should not be limited to the tests included in the specification for which preliminary acceptance criteria
257 have been set.

258 **S.4.1. Specification**

259 The specification for the batch(es) of active substance to be used in the clinical trial should define
260 acceptance criteria together with the tests used to exert sufficient control of the quality of the active
261 substance. Tests and defined acceptance criteria are mandatory for quantity, identity and purity and
262 a limit of 'record' or 'report results' will not be acceptable. A test for biological activity should be
263 included unless otherwise justified. Upper limits, taking into account safety considerations, should be
264 set for the impurities. Microbiological quality for the active substance should be specified.

265 As the acceptance criteria are normally based on a limited number of development batches and
266 batches used in non-clinical and clinical studies, they are by their nature inherently preliminary and
267 may need to be reviewed and adjusted during further development.

268 Product characteristics that are not completely defined at a certain stage of development e.g.
269 glycosylation, or for which the available data is too limited to establish relevant acceptance criteria,
270 should also be recorded. As a consequence, such product characteristics could be included in the
271 specification, without pre-defined acceptance limits. The results should be reported in the Batch
272 Analyses section (S.4.4).

273 **Additional information for phase III clinical trials**

274 As knowledge and experience increases, the addition or removal of parameters and modification of
275 analytical methods may be necessary. Specifications and acceptance criteria set for previous trials
276 should be reviewed and, where appropriate, adjusted to the current stage of development.

277 **S.4.2. Analytical procedures**

278 The analytical methods used for all tests included in the active substance specification (e.g.
279 chromatographic methods, biological assay, etc.) should be listed including those tests reported
280 without acceptance limits. A brief description of all non-compendial analytical procedures, i.e. the way
281 of performing the analysis, should be provided.

282 For methods which comply with a monograph of the Ph. Eur., the pharmacopoeia of an EU Member
283 State, USP or JP, reference to the relevant monograph will be acceptable.

284 **S.4.3. Validation of analytical procedure**

285 Validation of analytical procedures during clinical development is seen as an evolving process.

286 Analytical procedures, which are either described in Ph. Eur., the pharmacopoeia of a Member State,
287 USP or JP, or are linked to a product specific monograph, are normally considered as validated.

288 For phase I and II clinical trials, the suitability of the analytical methods used should be confirmed. The
289 acceptance limits (e.g. acceptance limits for the determination of the content of impurities, where
290 relevant) and the parameters (specificity, linearity, range, accuracy, precision, quantification and
291 detection limit, as appropriate) for performing validation of the analytical methods should be presented
292 in a tabulated form. If validation studies have been undertaken for early phase trials, a tabulated
293 summary of the results of analytical method validation studies could be provided for further assurance.

294 **Information for phase III clinical trials**

295 Validation of the analytical methods used for release and stability testing is expected. A tabulated
296 summary of the results of the validation carried out should be provided (e.g. results or values found
297 for specificity, linearity, range, accuracy, precision, quantification and detection limit, as appropriate).
298 It is not necessary to provide a full validation report.

299 **S.4.4. Batch analyses**

300 As the specification may initially be very wide, actual batch data are important for quality assessment.
301 For quantitative parameters, actual numerical values should be presented.

302 The focus of this section is to demonstrate the quality of the batches (conformance to established
303 preliminary specification) to be used in the clinical trial. For early phase clinical trials where only a
304 limited number of batches of active substance have been manufactured, test results from relevant
305 clinical and non-clinical batches should be provided, including those to be used in the clinical trial
306 supported by the IMPD. For active substances with a longer production history, it could be acceptable
307 to provide results for only a number of representative batches, if appropriately justified.

308 Batch number, batch size, manufacturing site, manufacturing date, control methods, acceptance
309 criteria and the test results should be listed together with the use of the batches. The manufacturing
310 process used for each batch should be identified.

311 In any case a statement should be included whether the batch analyses data presented are from the
312 batches that will be used in the clinical trial, or whether additional batches not yet manufactured at
313 time of submission of the Investigation Medicinal Product Dossier (IMPD) might be used.

314 **S.4.5. Justification of specification**

315 A justification for the quality attributes included in the specification and the acceptance criteria for
316 purity, impurities, biological activity and any other quality attributes which may be relevant to the
317 performance of the medicinal product should be provided. The justification should be based on relevant
318 development data, the batches used in non-clinical and/or clinical studies and data from stability
319 studies, taking into account the methods used for their control. It is acknowledged that during clinical
320 development, the acceptance criteria may be wider and may not reflect process capability. However,
321 for those quality attributes that may impact patient safety, the limits should be carefully considered
322 taking into account available knowledge (e.g. process capability, product type, dose, duration of dosing
323 etc). The relevance of the selected potency assay and its proposed acceptance limits should be
324 justified.

325 Changes to a previously applied specification (e.g. addition or removal of parameters, widening of
326 acceptance criteria) should be indicated and justified.

327 **S.5. Reference standards or materials**

328 Due to the nature of biologically / biotechnology derived active substances, a well characterised
329 reference material is essential to ensure consistency between different batches but also to ensure the
330 comparability of the product to be marketed with that used in clinical studies and to provide a link
331 between process development and commercial manufacturing. The characterisation of the reference
332 material should be performed with reliable state-of-the-art analytical methods, which should be
333 adequately described. Information regarding the manufacturing process used to establish the reference
334 material should be provided.

335 If more than one reference standard has been used during the clinical development, a qualification
336 history should be provided describing how the relationship between the different standards was
337 maintained.

338 If available, an international or Ph. Eur. standard should be used as primary reference material. Each
339 in-house working standard should be qualified against this primary reference material. However, it
340 should be noted that the use of an international or Ph. Eur. standard might be limited to certain
341 defined test methods, e.g. biological activity. If an international or Ph. Eur. standard is not available,

342 an in-house standard should be established during development as primary reference material. The
343 stability of the reference material should be monitored.

344 **S.6. Container closure system**

345 The immediate packaging material used for the active substance should be stated. Possible interactions
346 between the active substance and the immediate packaging should be considered.

347 **S.7. Stability**

348 **Stability summary and conclusions (protocol / material and method)**

349 A stability protocol covering the proposed storage period of the active substance should be provided,
350 including specification, analytical methods and test intervals. The testing interval should normally
351 follow the guidance given in ICH Q5C.

352 The quality of the batches of the active substance placed into the stability program should be
353 representative of the quality of the material to be used in the planned clinical trial.

354 The active substance entered into the stability program should be stored in a container closure system
355 of the same type and made from the same materials as that used to store active substance batches to
356 be used in the clinical trial. Containers of reduced size are usually acceptable for the active substance
357 stability testing.

358 Studies should evaluate the active substance stability under the proposed storage conditions.
359 Accelerated and stress condition studies are recommended as they may help understanding the
360 degradation profile of the product and support an extension of the shelf-life.

361 The stability-indicating properties of the analytical methods included in the stability protocol should be
362 discussed to provide assurance that changes in the purity / impurity profile and potency of the active
363 substance would be detected. A potency assay should be included in the protocol, unless otherwise
364 justified.

365 The re-test period (as defined in ICH Q1A guideline) is not applicable to biological / biotechnology
366 derived active substances.

367 **Stability data / results**

368 Stability data should be presented for at least one batch made by a process representative of that used
369 to manufacture material for use in the clinical trial. In addition, supportive stability data on relevant
370 development batches or batches manufactured using previous manufacturing processes should be
371 provided, if available. Such batch data may be used in the assignment of shelf life for the active
372 substance provided an appropriate justification of the representative quality for the clinical trial
373 material is given.

374 The relevant stability data should be summarised in tabular format, specifying the batches tested, date
375 of manufacture, process version, composition, storage conditions, time-points, test methods,
376 acceptance criteria and results.

377 For quantitative parameters, actual numerical values should be presented. Any observed data trends
378 should be discussed.

379 Progressive requirements will need to be applied to reflect the amount of available data and emerging
380 knowledge about the stability of the active substance during the different phases of clinical
381 development. By phase III the applicant should have a comprehensive understanding of the stability
382 profile of the active substance.

383 **Shelf-life determination**

384 The claimed shelf-life of the active substance under the proposed storage conditions should be stated
385 and accompanied by an evaluation of the available data. Any observed trends should be discussed.

386 The requested storage period should be based on long term, real time and real temperature stability
387 studies, as described in ICH Q5C. However, extension of the shelf-life beyond the period covered by
388 real-time stability data may be acceptable, if supported by relevant data, including accelerated stability
389 studies and/or relevant stability data generated with representative material.

390 The maximum shelf-life after the extension should not be more than double, or more than twelve
391 months longer than the period covered by stability data obtained with representative batch(es).
392 However, extension beyond the intended duration of the long term stability studies is not acceptable.

393 Prior knowledge including platform technologies could be taken into consideration when designing a
394 stability protocol; however, on its own this data is not considered sufficient to justify the shelf-life of
395 the actual active substance.

396 Where extensions of the shelf-life are planned, the applicant should commit to perform the proposed
397 stability program according to the presented protocol, and, in the event of unexpected issues, to
398 inform Competent Authorities of the situation, and propose corrective actions.

399 On shelf-life extension by way of substantial amendment, see section 4.

400 **P Investigational medicinal product under test**

401 ***P.1. Description and composition of the investigational medicinal*** 402 ***product***

403 The qualitative and quantitative composition of the IMP should be stated. The information provided
404 should include:

- 405 • a short statement or a tabulation of the dosage form
- 406 • composition, i.e. list of all components of the dosage form and their amount on a per-unit basis
407 (including overages, if any), the function of the components, and a reference to their quality
408 standards (e.g. compendial monographs or manufacturer's specifications)
- 409 • description of accompanying diluents(s)
- 410 • a brief description of the type of container and closure used for the dosage form and for any
411 accompanying reconstitution diluent and devices, if applicable.

412 ***P.2. Pharmaceutical development***

413 For early development there may be only limited information to include in this section.

414 A short description of formulation development, including justification of any new pharmaceutical form
415 or excipient, should be provided.

416 For products requiring additional preparation (e.g. reconstitution, dilution, mixing), compatibility with
417 the used materials (e.g. solvents, diluents, matrix) should be demonstrated and the method of
418 preparation should be summarised (reference may be made to a full description in the clinical
419 protocol).

420 It should be documented that the combination of intended formulation and packaging material does
421 not impair correct dosing, ensuring for example that the product is not adsorbed to the wall of the
422 container or infusion system. This is particularly relevant for low dose and highly diluted presentations.
423 Where applicable, the reliable administration of very small doses in first-in-human studies should be
424 addressed as laid down in the Guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for first-in-human
425 clinical trials with investigational medicinal products (EMA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07).

426 **Manufacturing process development**

427 Changes in the manufacturing process including changes in formulation and dosage form compared to
428 previous clinical trials should be described. An appropriate comparability exercise should support
429 significant changes, e.g. formulation changes. In this regard, expectations are similar to those
430 described in S.2.6. This data should be sufficiently detailed to allow an appropriate understanding of
431 the changes and assessment of possible consequences to the safety of the patient.

432 Any changes in the formulation during the clinical phases should be documented and justified with
433 respect to their impact on quality, safety, clinical properties, dosing and stability of the medicinal
434 product.

435 **P.3. Manufacture**

436 **P.3.1. Manufacturer(s)**

437 The name(s), address(es) and responsibilities of all manufacturer(s) and each proposed production site
438 involved in manufacture, testing and batch release should be provided. In case multiple manufacturers
439 contribute to the manufacture of the IMP, their respective responsibilities should be clearly stated.

440 **P.3.2. Batch formula**

441 The batch formula for the batch(es) to be used for the clinical trial should be presented. This should
442 include a list of all components. The batch sizes or range of batch sizes should be given.

443 **P.3.3. Description of manufacturing process and process controls**

444 A flow chart showing all steps of the manufacturing process, including relevant process parameters and
445 in-process-tests, should be provided accompanied by a brief process description. The results of in-
446 process tests may be recorded as action limits or reported as preliminary acceptance criteria. During
447 development, as process knowledge is gained, further detail of process parameters and in-process
448 testing and the criteria should be provided and acceptance criteria reviewed.

449 Most products containing recombinant proteins and monoclonal antibodies are manufactured by an
450 aseptic process, which is considered to be non-standard. Non-standard manufacturing processes or
451 new technologies and new packaging processes should be described in sufficient detail (see the
452 Guideline on process validation for finished products - information and data to be provided in
453 regulatory submissions, Annex II: Non-Standard Processes EMA/CHMP/CVMP/QWP/BWP/70278/2012,
454 Rev1).

455 **P.3.4. Control of critical steps and intermediates**

456 Tests and acceptance criteria for the control of critical steps in the manufacturing process should be
457 provided. It is acknowledged that due to limited data at an early stage of development (phase I/II)
458 complete information may not be available.

459 If holding times are foreseen for process intermediates, duration and storage conditions should be
460 provided and justified by data in terms of physicochemical, biological and microbiological properties.

461 For sterilisation by filtration the maximum acceptable bioburden prior to the filtration must be stated in
462 the application. In most situations NMT 10 CFU/100 ml will be acceptable, depending on the volume to
463 be filtered in relation to the diameter of the filter. If this requirement is not met, a pre-filtration
464 through a bacteria-retaining filter should be carried out in order to obtain a sufficiently low bioburden.
465 If availability of the formulated medicinal product is limited, a pre-filtration/filtration volume of less
466 than 100 ml may be tested if justified.

467 Reprocessing may be acceptable for particular manufacturing steps (e.g. re-filtration) only if the steps
468 are adequately described and appropriately justified.

469 **P.3.5. Process validation and/or evaluation**

470 The state of validation of aseptic processing and lyophilisation should be briefly described, if applicable.
471 Taking into account EudraLex Vol. 4, Annex 13, the validation of sterilising processes should be of the
472 same standard as for product authorised for marketing. The dossier should particularly include
473 information directly relating to the product safety, i.e. on bioburden and media fill runs.

474 **P.4. Control of excipients**

475 **P.4.1. Specification**

476 References to Ph. Eur., the pharmacopoeia of an EU Member State, USP or JP may be made. For
477 excipients not covered by any of the aforementioned standards, an in-house specification should be
478 provided.

479 **P.4.2. Analytical procedures**

480 In cases where reference to a pharmacopoeial monograph listed under P.4.1 cannot be made, the
481 analytical methods used should be indicated.

482 **P.4.3. Validation of the analytical procedures**

483 Not applicable.

484 **P.4.4. Justification of specification**

485 For non-compendial excipients as listed above in P.4.1, the in-house specification should be justified.

486 **P.4.5. Excipients of human or animal origin**

487 For excipients of human or animal origin, information should be provided regarding adventitious agents
488 safety evaluation (e.g. sources, specifications, description of the testing performed) and viral safety
489 data according to the Guideline on virus safety evaluation of biotechnological investigational medicinal
490 products (EMA/CHMP/BWP/398498/05) in Appendix A.2. Furthermore, compliance with the TSE
491 guideline (EMA/410/01, current version) should be documented in section A.2.

492 If human albumin or any other plasma derived medicinal product is used as an excipient, information
493 regarding adventitious agents safety evaluation should follow the relevant chapters of the Guideline on
494 plasma-derived medicinal products (CPMP/BWP/269/95). If the plasma derived component has already
495 been used in a product with a MA then reference to this can be made.

496 **P.4.6. Novel excipients**

497 For excipients used for the first time in a medicinal product or by a new route of administration, full
498 details of manufacture, characterisation and controls, with cross references to supporting safety data
499 (non-clinical and/or clinical), should be provided according to the active substance format (details in
500 A.3).

501 ***P.5. Control of the investigational medicinal product***

502 **P.5.1. Specification**

503 The same principles as described for setting the active substance specification should be applied to the
504 medicinal product. In the specification, the tests used as well as their acceptance criteria should be
505 defined for the batch(es) of the product to be used in the clinical trial to enable sufficient control of
506 quality of the product. Tests for contents, identity and purity are mandatory. Tests for sterility and
507 endotoxins are mandatory for sterile products. A test for biological activity should be included unless
508 otherwise justified. Upper limits, taking safety considerations into account, should be set for impurities.
509 They may need to be reviewed and adjusted during further development.

510 Acceptance criteria for medicinal product quality attributes should take into account safety
511 considerations and the stage of development. Since the acceptance criteria are normally based on a
512 limited number of development batches and batches used in non-clinical and clinical studies, their
513 nature is inherently preliminary. They may need to be reviewed and adjusted during further
514 development.

515 The analytical methods and the limits for content and bioactivity should ensure a correct dosing.

516 For the impurities not covered by the active substance specification, upper limits should be set, taking
517 into account safety considerations.

518 **Additional information for III clinical trials**

519 As knowledge and experience increases the addition or removal of parameters and modification of
520 analytical methods may be necessary. The specification and acceptance criteria set for previous trials
521 should be reviewed for phase III clinical trials and, where appropriate, adjusted to the current stage of
522 development.

523 **P.5.2. Analytical procedures**

524 The analytical methods for all tests included in the specification should be described. For some proteins
525 and complex or innovative pharmaceutical forms, a higher level of detail may be required.

526 For further requirements refer to S.4.2.

527 **P.5.3. Validation of analytical procedures**

528 For requirements refer to S.4.3.

529 **P.5.4. Batch analysis**

530 As specifications may initially be very wide, actual batch data are important for quality assessment. For
531 quantitative parameters, actual numerical values should be presented.

532 The focus of this section is to demonstrate the quality of the batches (conformance to established
533 preliminary specification) to be used in the clinical trial. For early phase clinical trials where only a
534 limited number of batches have been manufactured, test results from relevant clinical and non-clinical
535 batches should be provided, including those to be used in the clinical trial supported by the IMPD. For
536 products with a longer production history, it could be acceptable to provide results for only a number
537 of representative batches, if appropriately justified.

538 Batch number, batch size, manufacturing site, manufacturing date, control methods, acceptance
539 criteria and the test results should be listed together with the use of the batches. The manufacturing
540 process used for each batch should be identified.

541 In any case, a statement should be included whether the batch analyses data presented are from the
542 batches that will be used in the clinical trial, or whether additional batches not yet manufactured at
543 time of submission of the IMPD might be used.

544 **P.5.5. Characterisation of impurities**

545 Additional impurities and degradation products observed in the IMP, but not covered by section S.3.2,
546 should be identified and quantified as necessary.

547 **P.5.6. Justification of specification**

548 A justification for the quality attributes included in the product specification should be provided mainly
549 based on the active substance specification. Stability indicating quality attributes should be
550 considered. The proposed acceptance criteria should be justified.

551 **P.6. Reference standards or materials**

552 The parameters for characterisation of the reference standard should be submitted, where applicable.
553 Section S.5 - Reference Standards or Materials - may be referred to, where applicable.

554 **P.7. Container closure system**

555 The intended primary packaging to be used for the IMP in the clinical trial should be described. Where
556 appropriate, reference should be made to the relevant pharmacopoeial monograph. If the product is
557 packed in a non-standard administration device, or if non-compendial materials are used, description
558 and specifications should be provided. If a medical device is to be used, it should be stated whether it
559 bears a CE mark.

560 For products intended for parenteral use where there is potential for interaction between product and
561 container closure system, more details may be needed.

562 **P.8. Stability**

563 The same requirements as for the active substance are applied to the medicinal product, including the
564 stability protocol, stability results, shelf-life determination, including extension of shelf-life beyond the
565 period covered by real-time stability data, stability commitment and post-approval extension. Stability
566 studies should provide sufficient assurance that the IMP will be stable during its intended storage
567 period. The presented data should justify the proposed shelf life of the product from its release to its
568 administration to patients. The stability protocol for the IMP should take into account the knowledge
569 acquired on the stability profile of the active substance.

570 Bracketing and matrixing approaches may be acceptable, where justified.

571 In-use stability data should be presented for preparations intended for use after reconstitution,
572 dilution, mixing or for multidose presentations. These studies are not required if the preparation is to
573 be used immediately after opening or reconstitution.

574 **Appendices**

575 **A.1. Facilities and equipment**

576 Not applicable.

577 **A.2. Adventitious agents safety evaluation**

578 All materials of human or animal origin used in the manufacturing process of both the active substance
579 and the medicinal product, or such materials coming into contact with active substance or medicinal
580 product during the manufacturing process, should be identified. Information assessing the risk with
581 respect to potential contamination with adventitious agents of human or animal origin should be
582 provided in this section.

583 **TSE agents**

584 Detailed information should be provided on the avoidance and control of transmissible spongiform
585 encephalopathy agents. This information can include, for example, certification and control of the
586 production process, as appropriate for the material, process and agent.

587 The Note for Guidance on Minimising the Risk of Transmitting Animal Spongiform Encephalopathy
588 Agents via Human and Veterinary Medicinal Products (EMA/410/01) in its current version is to be
589 applied.

590 **Viral safety**

591 Where applicable, information assessing the risk with respect to potential viral contamination should be
592 provided in this section. The documentation should comply with the requirements as outlined in the
593 Guideline on virus safety evaluation of biotechnological investigational medicinal products
594 (EMA/CHMP/BWP/398498/05).

595 **Other adventitious agents**

596 Detailed information regarding other adventitious agents, such as bacteria, mycoplasma, and fungi
597 should be provided in appropriate sections within the core dossier.

598 **A.3. Excipients**

599 For novel excipients, information as indicated in section S of the CTD should be provided in line with
600 the respective clinical phase.

601 **A.4. Solvents for reconstitution and diluents**

602 For solvents for reconstitution and diluents, the relevant information as indicated in section P of the
603 CTD should be provided.

604 **3. Information on the quality of authorised, non-modified** 605 **biological test and comparator products in clinical trials**

606 Information on the authorised, non-modified test/comparator product provided in the IMPD should
607 meet the requirements as outlined in the Guideline on the requirements to the chemical and
608 pharmaceutical quality documentation concerning investigational medicinal products in clinical trials
609 (EMA/CHMP/QWP/834816/2015).

610 **4. Information on the quality of modified authorised** 611 **biological comparator products in clinical trials**

612 Information on the modified authorised test/comparator product provided in the IMPD should meet the
613 requirements as outlined in Guideline on the requirements to the chemical and pharmaceutical quality
614 documentation concerning investigational medicinal products in clinical trials
615 (EMA/CHMP/QWP/834816/2015).

616 **5. Information on the chemical and pharmaceutical quality** 617 **concerning placebo products in clinical trials**

618 Information on the placebo product to be provided in the IMPD should meet the requirements as
619 outlined in the Guideline on the requirements to the chemical and pharmaceutical quality
620 documentation concerning investigational medicinal products in clinical trials
621 (EMA/CHMP/QWP/834816/2015).

622 **6. Changes to the investigational medicinal product with a** 623 **need to request a substantial modification to the IMPD**

624 In accordance with Good Manufacturing Practice, a Product Specification File should be maintained for
625 each IMP at the respective site and be continually updated as the development of the product
626 proceeds, ensuring appropriate traceability to the previous versions. The following is a non-exhaustive
627 list of modifications that are typically 'substantial' and need to be notified to the competent authorities.

- 628 • changes in the manufacturer(s) of the active substance or the medicinal product
- 629 • substantial changes in the manufacturing process (such as new expression cell line, addition or
630 omission of a purification step, changes of steps affecting viral clearance, any reprocessing not
631 described in the IMPD)
- 632 • changes leading to the occurrence of new impurities and product related substances
- 633 • change in specification, if acceptance criteria are widened or test procedures are deleted or
634 replaced
- 635 • change to the formulation including changes in the active substance concentration and excipient
636 composition
- 637 • changes to immediate packaging material, if the nature of material is changed
- 638 • shelf-life extension that goes beyond the duration outlined in the agreed stability protocol
- 639 • changes in the approved in-use stability recommendations
- 640 • any extension of the shelf-life outside the agreed protocol or without prior commitment (see
641 section S.7 and P.8)

642 However, shelf-life extension based on the agreed protocol is typically not considered as substantial
643 amendment if:

- 644 • each additional extension of the shelf-life is not more than double or more than twelve months
645 longer than the approved shelf-life

- 646 • the extension is covered and in compliance with the approved stability protocol
- 647 • no significant trends or out-of-specification results (OoS) have been detected in ongoing stability
648 studies at the designated storage temperature
- 649 • the applicant commits to inform Competent Authorities of unexpected stability issues in the
650 ongoing study (including trends and OoS) and to propose corrective action as appropriate